

IN HONOR OF CAROLYN M.
GREENBERG

HON. CAROLYN B. MALONEY

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Carolyn M. Greenberg, a resident of my district whose term as chair of Community Board 8 in Manhattan has just ended.

In taking on this position, Mr. Greenberg committed herself to a year of hard work as a leader, trouble-shooter, and diplomat. In this capacity, Ms. Greenberg has gone above and beyond the call of civic duty. Carolyn Greenberg has long been an advocate for the Upper East Side and, in fact, for all of the residents of the City of New York.

During her time as chair and as a member of Community Board 8, she has demonstrated an unyielding dedication to the quality of life in the City. Carolyn has devoted hours of her free time monitoring local sidewalk cafes, researching community issues such as zoning regulations and environmental hazards, and attending public hearings.

She has been a member of the Environment, Parks, and Pedestrian Affairs Committees, demonstrating that her spirit of public good extends to a variety of areas. She has many areas of expertise and has been very influential in diverse community issues through the years that I have worked with her.

Ms. Greenberg served as a member of Community Board 8 from 1979 through 1985, and again from 1986 to the present. She served as first and second vice chair, then as chair from 1996 to 1997.

The efficiency and effectiveness Carolyn Greenberg has displayed in her role as chair of Community Board 8 should serve as a model for all community activists. Without people like Ms. Greenberg working to improve communities on the local level, our works as Members of Congress would be compounded tenfold. We should not only remember, but gratefully acknowledge the credit well-deserved by civic leaders. It is the hardworking people like Ms. Greenberg who keep alive the small-town feeling which could so easily be lost in a big city.

Ms. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues rise with me in this tribute to Ms. Carolyn Greenberg, a woman who has worked very hard to improve her community. Thank you.

HUGHSON HIGH SCHOOL HUSKIES

HON. GARY A. CONDIT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. CONDIT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the Hughson High School Huskies football team for their perfect 1997 season, capped by their 34-12 victory over Colfax High School in the Sac-Joaquin Section Division III championship game, under head coach Reyn Franca. The outstanding sportsmanship, citizenship, athleticism and team spirit displayed by the Huskies reflects great credit on their community as well as the entire 18th Congressional District.

Hughson is a small community in the great Central Valley of California. It's a place known for hard working, close knit families. People care about and take care of each other. For the people who call Hughson home, family values isn't a slick sounding slogan—it's a way of life. Like most communities its size, life revolves around its high school.

Hughson High strives to maintain a stellar reputation for excellence from the classroom to the athletic fields. Arguably the best small school football team in California, the 1997 season marks the third time in seven years the Huskies have won the Sac-Joaquin Section Division III football title. Cal-Hi Sports Magazine also honored the Huskies as the state's best small school football team.

Mr. Speaker, what makes this season and particularly, this team, so impressive is the commitment team members made to each other following last year's disappointing loss in the final 22 seconds of the championship game. They made a promise to work together and to work harder than ever. That's the kind of people who live in Hughson. They don't dwell on past mistakes. They look forward to the future, roll up their sleeves and get the job done.

Homer Garza, the team's leading rusher summed it up best: "This class is real close. We knew what we had to do and we did it as a team. I think a lot of our togetherness goes along with the coaching staff. (Reyn) Franca, Bob Loretelli and Dan Walsh all prepared us to think 'we' instead of 'I'."

The Hughson High School Huskies are an example of excellence. It is with great pride that I ask the United States House of Representatives to recognize and honor the Huskies and the community of Hughson.

THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

HON. LEE H. HAMILTON

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. HAMILTON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to insert my Washington Report for Wednesday, December 17, 1997 into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

**CAMPAIGN FUNDRAISING AND THE
INDEPENDENT COUNSEL LAW**

On December 2, 1997 Attorney General Janet Reno announced that she would not request the appointment of an independent counsel to investigate fundraising phone calls by the President and Vice President from the White House. The decision does not end further investigation by the Justice Department, the FBI, and congressional committees into these and other allegations of fundraising abuse. The Attorney General reserved the right to seek an independent counsel in the future if the evidence so warrants.

Her decision may nonetheless mark a turning point in the fundraising scandal, perhaps signaling the beginning of the end of the investigation. The appointment of an independent counsel, in contrast, would have subjected the White House and Democratic National Committee to the wide-ranging investigative and prosecutorial powers of the counsel and almost certainly prolonged the inquiry for many years.

What is the independent counsel law? Congress enacted the independent counsel law in

1978 in response to Watergate and the seeming inability of the executive branch to investigate and prosecute crimes by senior administration officials. The statute aims to handle such cases in an impartial manner, thus restoring public confidence in the process. An independent counsel is appointed by a panel of judges at the request of the Attorney General, and works outside the executive branch.

When is the law triggered? The Attorney General must request the appointment of an independent counsel if there is specific and credible information that a crime may have been committed by a high-ranking official, or for others for whom it would be a conflict of interest for the Justice Department to investigate. The Attorney General, however, may not ask for an independent counsel to investigate allegations that the Justice Department would not prosecute under its existing standards.

What was the focus of this investigation? The Attorney General focused her inquiry on whether the President and Vice President made fundraising calls from the White House in violation of a federal law known as the Pendleton Act. This law was enacted in 1883 in an effort to prevent federal officials from shaking down their employees for contributions. It has since been expanded to cover certain solicitations of private persons, but has been rarely enforced in recent times. Applying this law to the White House phone calls raised difficult legal issues. First, it was unclear how the law might apply to the White House residence (where the President lives) as opposed to White House offices (where he works). Second, it was unclear what types of solicitations the law was intended to proscribe. Some had argued the law covered solicitations for so-called "hard money" contributions, which are contributions for specific federal campaigns and are stringently regulated, while others said it also covered solicitations for so-called "soft money" contributions, which are contributions for general party-building activities and are only lightly regulated.

What did the investigation find? The Justice Department concluded that the President made two thank-you calls to contributors and one call soliciting money. Those calls, however, were made from the White House residence, which, the Attorney General said, was not covered by the law under existing Justice Department guidelines. The investigators also reviewed 45 fundraising calls from White House offices by the Vice President. The Attorney General determined that the calls were meant to raise "soft money," which she said was not covered under the specific terms of the act.

What has been the reaction to the decision? Critics have focussed less on her analysis of the Pendleton Act, which many consider sound, than on the scope of her investigation. First, critics say she asked the wrong legal question: her focus should have been on the Democratic Party's advertising campaign on behalf of the President, which was funded by "soft money" contributions and coordinated with the President. Critics say the President and party leaders intentionally sought to evade the spending caps to which presidential candidates must agree as a condition of receiving federal funds. Others would respond that campaign finance laws in this area are hopelessly ambiguous and that both sides used similar techniques to evade spending limits.

Second, critics say the Attorney General focused her inquiry too narrowly on potential violations of an obscure and rarely-enforced federal law, rather than on the wider pattern of fundraising abuses, including the use of the White House for fundraising purposes. These critics say the independent counsel law was designed for such sensitive

and prominent political investigations that cannot be credibly handled by the Attorney General, who is, after all, an appointee of the President. The Attorney General has responded that the independent counsel law should be aimed at specific allegations of wrongdoing, not generalized grievances; otherwise, the independent counsel, who operates with broad powers and an unlimited budget, could not be properly constrained in his investigation.

Conclusions: I agree with the Attorney General's decision not to seek an independent counsel. My chief concern is with the appointment process. The independent counsel, if requested, would be selected by a three-judge panel which has shown a strong bias against the President.

I have been dissatisfied, however, with the Attorney General's investigation. The campaign finance scandal has created a serious crisis of credibility for the American political system. The Department of Justice investigation has been slow and unimpressive. Serious questions have been raised about the failure to pursue important leads, the FBI director's open disapproval, emphasis on technicalities of the law, and conflicts of interest—all of which haven't been adequately addressed. The answer, I believe, is the appointment by the Attorney General of a special prosecutor, as was done in Watergate. Such a prosecutor, with impeccable credentials, could provide a thorough and impartial review of campaign fundraising abuses by both parties.

The Attorney General's inquiry also highlights the need for campaign finance reform. Prosecutors will not bring charges unless they have a clear understanding of a law and its sanctions. Here, the campaign finance law, as written by Congress and interpreted by regulators and the courts, is riddled with so many loopholes it is almost unenforceable. We need a law which clearly limits the influence of money in campaigns and provides penalties for violators.

TRIBUTE TO THE LAS VIRGENES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

HON. BRAD SHERMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to the members of the Las Virgenes Unified School District Board of Education for their service and dedication to the children of our community.

John Dewey once wrote that "Education is not preparation for life; education is life itself." The board members of this district have committed themselves to ensuring our children receive the best education possible, and therefore the most productive and fulfilling life possible. They are one of 12 school boards throughout the state of California in which all its members have earned their Masters of Boardmanship; a process which involves a rigorous course of professional development. Recently, the entire board was one of only 11 school boards recognized by the California School Boards Association for this accomplishment. This achievement is especially noteworthy because there are more than 1,000 school boards in the state.

Recent accomplishments attributed to the hard work of our board members include the passage of a \$93 million Facilities Bond with

an overwhelming majority vote. The board was also able to reduce class sizes for grades K-3 without hurting other programs. As a result, our children will receive the attention that is necessary to ensure that all students work to their full potential. In addition, a model computer technology training lab has been established for all staff members, and a policy was created which requires all students to pass algebra and geometry prior to graduation.

Schools in the Las Virgenes Unified District are consistently recognized for their commitment to excellence. A "California State Teacher of the Year" has been chosen from this district for the past two years, and ten of the district's schools have been recognized as California Distinguished Schools, National Blue Ribbon Schools and National Blue Ribbon Nominees. These accomplishments are a testament to the fact that the school board has established and maintained standards of excellence—standards to which other schools should aspire.

Mr. Speaker, distinguished colleagues, please join me in honoring the members of the Board of Education for the Las Virgenes Unified School District: Amy Berns, Barbara Bowman-Fagelson, Judy Jordan, Charlotte Meyer and Larry Rubin. These individuals have selflessly dedicated their time and energy to our community, and collectively they have worked to improve the standard of education that is received by our children.

HONORING AN AMERICAN HERO

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, today I would like to recognize Fred Korematsu, a man who stands as an example of the immutable struggle for human rights and social equity. Fifty-six years ago, a young Japanese American man stood up for justice and, as a result, had his freedom taken away. In 1942, Fred Korematsu resisted the order which sent 120,000 Japanese Americans into internment, as perceived threats to national security during World War II. Fred Korematsu defied the order because he was an American citizen who merely wanted to live his life as an American.

His refusal to report to internment resulted in conviction of a felony. He was placed in a confinement camp in Utah. In 1944, with the help of the American Civil Liberties Union, his case was brought before the Supreme Court to challenge the legality of that discriminatory order, but the court upheld the conviction.

It took four decades for that injustice to be redressed.

In 1983, evidence was brought to light which showed officials in the Navy and the Justice Department had suppressed information showing Japanese Americans were not a threat to national security. With that proof, Fred Korematsu made another appeal for justice. Recognizing that internment had been based on fraudulent information, a federal court finally vacated his conviction.

On January 15, 1998, the man who was handcuffed and taken to jail as an enemy alien over half a century ago, was awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom, the highest civilian honor our nation can bestow. His

steadfast belief in freedom and liberty should have evidenced him as a true American in 1943. Today, I applaud Fred Korematsu on his reception of the Medal of Freedom. It is a recognition too long deferred.

His case reminds us of the ease with which discrimination can be perpetrated and freedom violated. The internment of Japanese Americans is a deplorable part of our nation's history, and it should always be remembered as such. They were American citizens treated as alien enemies. Let Fred Korematsu continue to stand as an ideal of commitment to progress and perseverance against injustice.

CORONA ROTARY CLUB CELEBRATES 75 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY

HON. KEN CALVERT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Speaker, my hometown or Corona, California is blessed with many individuals who work tirelessly to improve the community's economy, educational system, environment and quality of life. When individuals like these form an organization, the benefits are innumerable. These benefits are reaped not only by the entire community, but also by the individuals who participate. Friendships and business relationships are formed and a tradition of cooperation develops within the community. The Corona Rotary Club is one of these exceptional organizations.

This month, the Corona Rotary Club is celebrating its 75th anniversary, marking three-quarters of a century of educational aid and community service in the city of Corona. The Corona Rotary Club is part of a larger organization, Rotary International, which consists of 6,000 clubs worldwide and sponsors several types of programs both regionally and internationally. One of these programs is its PolioPlus Program, which has virtually eradicated polio worldwide. Under sponsorship from the Riverside Rotary Club, the Corona Rotary Club was chartered on January 26, 1923. The club, founded by Herb Gully, initially consisted of 25 members with Charley Scoville serving as the first president. In 1940, a great honor was bestowed upon the organization when Paul Harris, the founder of Rotary International, visited the club. Since 1923, the Corona Rotary Club has grown to include 75 members.

The Corona Rotary Club sponsors an annual golf tournament in order to raise money for several youth organizations, including Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, YMCA, and various sports programs. Rotary has also established numerous scholarship funds for students in the Corona area, the most recent being the Gordon Duncan Memorial Scholarship, which pays a student's tuition for four years at a California State University. The Corona Rotary Club also established the RR Root Foundation, an organization which assists children with self-esteem problems related to dental deformities and provides reconstructive surgery for children with these deformities.

On a personal note, I am especially proud of the Corona Rotary Club's 75 years of service to the community because I have been a member for many years and served as its